

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

Security Committee

SECOM-D-151

2 April 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR: Mr. Maynard C. Anderson
Deputy Director of Security Policy
Office of the Under Secretary of Defense
(Policy)

STATINTL

FROM:

Chairman

SUBJECT: Classification of NFIP Resource Detail

REFERENCE: Your Memorandum I-9254/79 dated
14 September 1979

1. This responds to your request (referenced memorandum) for guidance on classification of NFIP resource detail. Our reply is based on consultations with the DCI's Resource Management Staff.

2. It is Executive Branch policy that funding and manpower resource levels of the overall NFIP and its major program components are classified Secret. However, individual NFIP data aggregations of particular sensitivity may require higher classification and/or compartmented protection, while those of lower sensitivity may be adequately protected at the Confidential level. Within this framework, originators of NFIP resource detail are responsible for recommending classification guidance for approval by original classification authorities. It would

appear appropriate for such authorities in the Department of Defense to approve classification guidance consistent with the policy noted above. Questions on the consistency of proposed guidance may be referred to the Security Committee staff.



STATINTL

cc: D/DCI/RM

25X1

Approved For Release 2004/12/22 : CIA-RDP82M00591R000200090004-8

Next 4 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Approved For Release 2004/12/22 : CIA-RDP82M00591R000200090004-8

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Classification of NFIP Resource Detail

FROM:

ES/SECOM

EXTENSION

NO.

SECOM-D-151

DATE

27 March 1980

25X1

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. Chairman, SECOM

STATINTL

2.

3.

ES/SECOM

STATINTL

4.

5.

STATINTL

6.

7.

8.

9.

STATINTL

10.

STATINTL

11.

12.

STATINTL

13.

14.

15.

1. Attached is proposed response to Maynard Anderson's request of last fall for guidance this subject. We discussed request with [redacted] (now AD/DCI/RM) who suggested we refer to his staff for comment with understanding that then ongoing budget process took priority. [redacted] discussed subject with RM Staff late March. The attached accords with their views and is satisfactory to Maynard (who says it is what he expected last fall but adds that he felt compelled to go through the exercise to get a written SECOM response). Text of proposed with [redacted] Director, Program & Budget Office, RMS, 26 March 1970.

Recommend you sign. /